

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/449,002	11/24/1999	JOHN P. FALLON	1166/58111	5698
RICHARD F. J	7590 01/22/2007 AWORSKI	EXAM	EXAMINER	
IVAN S. KAVRUKOV COOPER & DUNHAM L.L.P. 1185 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS 23RD FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 11036			SMITH, RUTH S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3737	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS . 01/22/2007			PAPER	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		09/449,002	FALLON ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Ruth S. Smith	3737
The MAILING DA	ATE of this communication		ith the correspondence address
Period for Reply			
WHICHEVER IS LONG  - Extensions of time may be avarafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the If NO period for reply is specification.  - Failure to reply within the set of the s	GER, FROM THE MAILING ailable under the provisions of 37 CFR he mailing date of this communication. led above, the maximum statutory per or extended period for reply will, by state the later than three months after the man	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a	reply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)
Status			
1) Responsive to co	mmunication(s) filed on 20	October 2006	
2a)⊠ This action is FIN		his action is non-final.	
· <u></u>	<i>'</i> —		ters, prosecution as to the merits is
		er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>23-41</u> is	/are pending in the applica	tion.	
	claim(s) is/are witho		
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>23-30</u> is	/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>31-41</u> is			
7) Claim(s) is			
8) Claim(s) a	re subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.	
Application Papers			
	is objected to by the Exam	iner.	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) file		ccepted or b) objected to	•
		he drawing(s) be held in abeyar	
			(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
TI) THE Dath of Gecia	ration is objected to by the	examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some	e * c)□ None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
	ppies of the priority docume		national No
		ents have been received in A	received in this National Stage
	from the International Bure		received in this Mational Stage
		ist of the certified copies not	received.
		·	
Attachment(s)		_	,
Notice of References Cited     Notice of Draftsperson's Pa	•		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date
$(2) \square$ Notice of Draftsperson's Pa	tent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		nformal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	_·	6) 🔲 Other:	

F

Art Unit: 3737

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 31-33,37-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Mortimore et al. The claims are directly readable on Mortimore et al in that the quantitative data is considered to be placed in a field other than an image field. It should be noted that applicant states that DICOM is the standard used in the industry to communicate medical files in electronic form. Mortimore et al disclose the use of a DICOM compatible file, see column 3, line 52, column 4, lines 39-41, column 5, line 28.

Claims 31-33,37-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Finger. The claims are directly readable on Finger in that the quantitative data is considered to be placed in a field other than an image field. It should be noted that applicant states that DICOM is the standard used in the industry to communicate medical files in electronic form. Finger discloses the use of a DICOM compatible file, see column 22, lines 47-50.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/449,002

Art Unit: 3737

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

Claims 34-36,40,41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Finger or Mortimore et al. Mortimore et al discloses a method for generating a DICOM compatible file where the quantitative data is considered to be placed in a field other than an image field. It should be noted that applicant states that DICOM is the standard used in the industry to communicate medical files in electronic form. Mortimore et al disclose the use of a DICOM compatible file, see column 3, line 52. column 4, lines 39-41, column 5, line 28. Finger discloses a method for generating a DICOM compatible file where the quantitative data is considered to be placed in a field other than an image field. It should be noted that applicant states that DICOM is the standard used in the industry to communicate medical files in electronic form. Finger discloses the use of a DICOM compatible file, see column 22, lines 47-50. The use of a bone densitometer to provide a bone scan and its related data is old and well known, as disclosed by applicant. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to have modified Finger or Mortimore such that the image data provided is provided by a bone densitometer and the quantitative data being related to the bone scan. Such a modification merely involves the substitution of one known type of scan for another. With regard to claims 36,40,41, the use of HTML, XML or Java Script files and the transmission of such across a network (as disclosed by Finger) is well known and the use of such in the communication of medical data would have therefore been obvious to one skilled in the art.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 23-30 are allowable over the prior art of record.

Page 4

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 31-41 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ruth S. Smith whose telephone number is 571-272-4745. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30 AM-4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on 571-272-4956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3737

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ruth S. Smith Primary Examiner Art Unit 3737

**RSS**